

What to Do Instead of Using AI Detectors

AI detectors are unreliable and can cause harm when used as evidence of misconduct. Faculty can better promote academic integrity through trust, transparency, and thoughtful design rather than detection tools.

Why Not Detectors

- ✓ **False Positives and Bias**
Detectors often misidentify non-native English writing and polished human writing as AI-generated.
- ✓ **Opacity**
Most tools do not disclose training data or accuracy rates.
- ✓ **Erosion of Trust**
Using detectors can damage student-faculty relationships and discourage honest conversation about learning.
- ✓ **Institutional Alignment**
Penn State discourages detector use and recommends open dialogue instead.

When You Suspect AI Use

Pause and Inquire

Start with a conversation.

Document

Document evidence of process, not just output.

Consult

Consult with your college or department's Academic Integrity unit or procedures.

Discuss

Frame it as a learning opportunity — use the moment to discuss ethics, attribution, and authorship.

What To Do Instead

Redesign Assignments for Transparency

Encourage students to make their process visible:

- Include process artifacts (drafts, notes, AI prompts, reflections).
- Design iterative checkpoints rather than single high-stakes submissions.
- Use AI disclosure statements so students can responsibly describe how (if at all) they used AI tools.

Foster Trust Through Conversation

Open a conversation rather than opening a detector:

- Discuss what appropriate AI use looks like in your field.
- Use “What was your process?” instead of “Did you use AI?”
- Emphasize curiosity and learning intent.

Build Explicit AI Policy Language

Provide clear, guidance in your syllabus:

- Define expectations (“You may use AI to brainstorm but not to draft complete responses”).
- Emphasize why those expectations exist (learning goals, fairness, authenticity).
- Invite ongoing reflection as AI evolves.

See Page 2 for Selected References



What to Do Instead of Using AI Detectors

Selected References

- Bittle, K., & El-Gayar, O. (2025). Generative AI and academic integrity in higher education. *Information*, 16(4).
- Harvard College Office of Academic Integrity. (2025). Academic integrity and teaching with(out) AI. Harvard University.
- Khalil, M., & Er, E. (2023). Will ChatGPT get you caught? In *Learning and Collaboration Technologies: HCII 2023*. Springer.
- Sadasivan, V. S. et al. (2023). Can AI-generated text be reliably detected? *arXiv*.

